

Wyke Area Committee

Executive Decisions

19<sup>th</sup> January 2022

PRESENT: -

Councillors Brabazon (Deputy Chair), Akbar, Fareham, McMurray, North, Robinson and Singh.

IN ATTENDANCE: -

A. Shaw (Community Manager, Neighbourhoods) and N. Stocks (Democratic Services Officer)

Inspector D. Lonsdale and Sergeant. J. Mellors (HumberSide Police) – minute 64

G. Paddock (Neighbourhood Nuisance Team Leader) – minute 65

L. Smith (Policy and Partnerships Manager) – minute 66

G. Osgerby (Project Director, Major Projects and Infrastructure) – minute 67

B. Hannant (Programme Manager, Major Projects) – minute 68

A. Wilson (Streetcare and Open Spaces Strategic Manager) – minute 69

S. Butcher (Housing Tenancy Manager) – minute 70

I. Cappleman (Team Leader, Design and Commissioning) – minute 71

B. Hanson (Strategy and Appraisals Manager) and J. Bowers (Team Leader, HMO Supported Tenancies – minute 72

APOLOGIES: -

<b>Minute No.</b>	<b>Description/Decision</b>	<b>Action By/Deadline</b>
<b>NON-EXEMPT ITEMS</b>		
Non-Key Decisions		
73	<b>STREET NAMING DECISION</b>  The Assistant Director of Digital and ICT, Digital Services, submitted a report, which sought Members views with regard to the naming of a residential development of 70 new houses on the former William Gee School site off Bishop Alcock Road, Kingston upon Hull.  A discussion took place around the proposed names of School Crescent and Conifer Crescent. Whilst there was a preference towards Conifer Crescent, there were some concerns around the removal of conifer trees on site during the construction period, which remained unresolved.  Members raised further concerns with regard to the lack of local connection to the options and reiterated that street naming presented an opportunity to show recognition of nationally and locally recognised people, who had provided an exceptional contribution to Hull and local communities. Members were informed that there had been some discussions around a memorial to commemorate the loss felt by the entire	

	<p>City during the pandemic and those who had worked tirelessly to support their communities.</p> <p><b>Proposed by Councillor Brabazon and Seconded by Councillor McMurray</b></p> <p>That Mary Seacole Crescent be submitted as an alternative and preferred option in recognition of her considerable contribution to improving the health of the nation.</p> <p>A further discussion took place around risk of objections from the developers and the potential delay to the completion of the site.</p> <p><b>Proposed as an amendment by Councillor Fareham and Seconded by Councillor North:-</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) That Conifer Crescent is proposed as the preferred name for a residential development of 70 new houses on the former William Gee School site off Bishop Alcock Road, Kingston upon Hull;</li> <li>b) that the Wyke Area Committee continues to have concerns with regard to the lack of action to resolve the removal of conifer trees on site, and refer the matter to the Planning Department to request that a resolution is sought as soon as possible; and</li> <li>c) that consideration is given to a review of the Street naming policy to consider the compilation of a list for the Wyke Area, which can be submitted to developers and Members to outline both nationally and locally recognised people who have provided a significant contribution to Hull and the local community, to support future street naming options.</li> </ul> <p><b>Amendment carried.</b></p>	<p>a and c) Assistant Director of Digital and ICT, Digital Services</p> <p>b) Planning</p>
74	<p><b>WYKE AREA FUNDS AND SECTION 106 UPDATE</b></p> <p>The Assistant Director, Neighbourhoods and Housing submitted a report which provided an update on the 2021-22 budgets for the Wyke Area in relation to Ward Budgets and Section 106 monies and sought Committee agreement to the allocation of Bricknell Ward funds that could not be progressed in line with the agreed Ward budget delegations.</p> <p>A discussion took place around the projects detailed within the report. One Member raised concerns that a number of the projects had been agreed at Ward level, and as such their inclusion had not been required.</p> <p><b>Agreed: -</b></p>	

