

Report to the Council

20 March 2025

Wards: Drypool, St Andrews & Dockland, Newington & Gipsyville, Avenue, Brickell, Central, Orchard Park, University and Beverley & Newland

Review of the East, West, North Public Spaces Protection Order
--

Report of the Deputy Chief Executive, Director of Public Health**Report Status:**

This item is not exempt

Therefore exempt reasons are not applicable

This is a key decision. The matter is in the Forward Plan

Previous Ref: 0005/16, updated Ref to follow:

1. Purpose of the Report and Summary

- 1.1. To inform Council on the process and consideration given to date to on the proposed three-year extension of the East, West and North Public Spaces Protection Order (PSPO)
- 1.2. To seek approval for the continuation of the East, West & North PSPO and a variation to the Order to include strengthening the existing prohibition to address alcohol related anti-social behaviour (ASB), include two new prohibitions across the current PSPO area (appendix 1) to address begging and bedding down and one new prohibition for the Hull City Centre Safer Entertainment Zone only (appendix 2) to address loitering related anti-social behaviour.
- 1.3. The report sets out the objectives of the PSPO to provide control of anti-social behaviour associated with the consumption of alcohol, begging, bedding down and loitering in public spaces within the target area.

2. Recommendations

- 2.1. That approval is given for the continuation of the Public Spaces Protection Order for the period of 3 years from 20 March 2025 to 19 March 2028.
- 2.2. Further approval is sought for a variation of the Order to include one strengthened and two new prohibitions across the current PSPO area and one new prohibition for Hull City Centre Safer Entertainment Zone only to tackle the consumption of alcohol, begging, bedding down and loitering related anti-social behaviour in the East, West and North Areas

3. Reasons for Recommendations

- 3.1. The new proposed prohibitions and strengthening of the current prohibition regarding alcohol consumption within the continuation of the PSPO enable the Council and Humberside Police to deal with alcohol related anti-social behaviour (ASB) street begging, bedding down, and loitering within the areas of highest concern.
- 3.2. The geographical area of the order ensures that any displacement of ASB can be addressed via place-based tasking as a new and emerging issue.
- 3.3. The restrictions identified are justified in that the evidence at paragraph 5, the consultation on the proposals, of this report identifies that alcohol related behaviour, street begging, bedding down and loitering ASB is likely to be carried out across all of the public space within the restricted area and have a continuing, detrimental effect on the quality of life of those in the target area.

4. Key Organisational Impacts

- 4.1. Impact on other Executive Committees (including Area Committees) and decision makers:
 - 4.1.1. The proposed PSPO including three new proposed prohibitions, strengthening of the current prohibition and time extension responds to neighbourhood priorities agreed by the Corporate Strategy Team (CST) and East, West and North Area Committees
- 4.2. Contribution to the delivery of the Community Plan, the Council Plan and/or Area Plans:
 - 4.2.1. The continuation and proposed additional prohibitions to the PSPO support the Community Plan 2024-2034 Ambition 1 – Safe and welcoming neighbourhoods and Ambition 4 -Economic growth that works for all.
 - 4.2.2. The Order supports the Hull Community Plan Ambition 1 – Safe and welcoming neighbourhoods by tackling the root causes of ASB & crime, making communities more resilient and building confidence in the response to ASB and crime. Humberside Police and Anti-social Behaviour Enforcement Officers

direct those breaching the order into relevant support services that will address underlying issues such as drug and alcohol misuse.

4.2.3. Local communities will be encouraged to report breaches and be kept informed of actions taken using various Police and Local Authority media channels. The Order also further strengthens Ambition 4 by tackling ASB which has an impact on local businesses and shopping areas. The public consultation that has been undertaken has given the community a voice. The Hull Community Plan 2024-2034 can be found here. Hull Community Plan 2024 – 2034.

4.2.4. The Order will also support the East Area Ambition 3 – Local residents feel safe in their community. West Area Ambition 2 - Our neighbourhoods are safe and welcoming and there is access to good quality, affordable housing with good associated provision and North Area Ambition 1 – Local residents to feel safe in their local neighbourhoods, and our green open spaces are well maintained and provide a safe and clean environment to be used by all, keeping everyone healthy and well.

4.2.5. Variations to the current PSPO have been requested by Humberside Police, giving greater enforcement powers to deal with street drinking proactively. In addition to strengthening the current prohibitions, options to add additional conditions to deal with street begging, bedding down and loitering have been identified as best practice during a recent benchmarking exercise. Having specific conditions relating to these behaviours enables the Police and enforcement partners to deal effectively with this behaviour and the order gives them the power to do so.

4.2.6. In addition, Hull BID have conducted their own engagement with businesses in the city centre who also requested the strengthening of the order and new prohibitions to help make shoppers and visitors feel safer when they come into the city centre, thus increasing footfall.

4.3. Impact on other key strategies/business plans:

4.3.1. The recommendation to strengthen the Order and the new prohibitions supports the Community Safety strategy, Humberside Police and Crime plan, the Community Plan and Area plans as detailed above.

4.4. Equalities Impact Information

4.4.1. The extension of the PSPO is compliant with Article 10 and 11 of the Human Rights Act 1998. Articles 10 and 11 relate to the “Freedom of Expression” and the freedom to be part of a “Lawful Assembly and Lawful Association”. Local Authorities are allowed to put conditions in place under both articles to prevent:

- crime and disorder,

- protect health and morals,
- protect individual rights and reputations.

5. Consultation

- 5.1. The Councils insight team ran a consultation to gauge resident, visitor, business and partner support for the proposed strengthened and additional prohibitions for a further 3 years. The consultation took place between 9th September 2024 and 2nd December 2024. The survey sought residents' views on the effectiveness of the PSPO in addressing street drinking related ASB, and whether there was supported to seek a time extension for a further 3-years and the proposed strengthened and new prohibitions.
- 5.2. The online survey was advertised through the Office of the Police & Crime Commissioners My Community Alert, Ward Member networks, social media, Humberside Police's My Community Alert and Hull BID.
- 5.3. The online survey received 847 responses compared to 185 returned during the previous consultation which ran from 1st October 2021 to 18th February 2022 on the review of the PSPO in 2022. The online survey provided overwhelming support for the three-year extension of the current PSPO and variations of the Order to include the 3 new proposed prohibitions and strengthening the current prohibition regarding alcohol consumption.

Q. How much do you support a PSPO to tackle	Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither	Support	Strongly support	% Who Support
Begging: No person shall make any verbal, non-verbal or written request for money, donations or goods, including the placing of hats, clothing or containers so as to cause or is likely to cause harassment, alarm, distress, nuisance, or annoyance. This applies at all times. This PSPO does not include buskers.	5.0%	3.2%	8.6%	23.0%	60.2%	83.2%
Loitering: No person shall loiter, sit or lay on the floor or on temporary structures in	3.4%	3.2%	5.1%	23.6%	64.6%	88.2%

or adjacent to doorways or around pay machines (including banks, supermarkets) in a manner causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm, distress, nuisance or annoyance to any person. This applies at all times.						
Street Drinking: No person shall consume alcohol in any public place other than at licensed premises or shall be in possession of any opened vessel containing or purporting to contain alcohol in any public place. This applies at all times except where street markets / events / festivals have obtained Temporary Event Notices, so will in effect be licensed premises for the time they are there.	3.5%	2.5%	3.9%	21.1%	69.0%	90.1%
Anti-Social Behaviour in the City Centre With A 48 Hour Dispersal Order: No person shall, after being requested to leave by an authorised officer due to them behaving in a manner causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm, distress, nuisance or annoyance to any person within the City Centre without reasonable excuse, remain or return to the City Centre within a period of 48 hours. This applies at all times except for those individuals who are rough sleeping when	3.1%	1.8%	5.2%	23.3%	66.6%	89.9%

this prohibition will only apply if they have access to alternative accommodation or have refused support.						
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

5.4. Humberside Police evidence

- 5.5. Year to date (Jan-Nov) recorded instances of Alcohol ASB had been -1.0% lower than the same period last year. So far in 2024 (Nov 2024), alcohol related ASB accounted for 11.3% of total ASB, which was +0.7% higher compared to 2023.
- 5.6. Over the last 6 months (June to November 2024), there had been 229 recorded incidents of alcohol related ASB within Hull. 17.1% of these incidents were related to street drinking, 7.4% related to begging/vagrancy and 59.0% related to Inconsiderate-Public Behaviour. The top wards for alcohol related ASB, including the street drinking subcodes, were: St Andrew’s & Docklands (17.9%), Central (17.9% ASB), and Avenue (15.4%).
- 5.7. In November 2024, there were 34 alcohol related ASB incidents in Hull, 52.9% also related to inconsiderate public behaviour, which is -29.5% lower than in November 2023.
- 5.8. Appendix 3 shows Table 1: Alcohol related ASB incidents 2024 for the last 6-months and Table 2: Alcohol related ASB incidents by subcode 2024 for the last 6-months.
- 5.9. Because the subcodes were not introduced until October 2023, and some (including street drinking) not introduced until February 2024, there is no data to provide a year-on-year comparison.
- 5.10. Operation Luscombe originates from The City of London Police. The concept is simple and uses The Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014.
- 5.11. The process involves using a traffic light system to address bedding, loitering and bedding down with a referral process to partner agencies to address the issues faced by the individual which attributes to their offending and or ASB.
- Op Luscombe (City Centre Safer Entertainment Zone) intervention notices issued: Dec 2022 – 2
 - Jan – Dec 2023 – 31
 - Jan – Nov 2024 – 38
- 5.12. All four of the Hull Neighbourhood Policing Teams unilaterally support the extension of the Order and welcome the strengthened and proposed prohibitions to the PSPO. We believe that the caveat “of causing ASB or believed may cause

ASB” will be the police stance and this should allay any fears around members of the public enjoying public spaces being caught up in the legislation, and that the demand for it is evidenced, both in quantitative data and qualitative feedback from Hull Local Policing teams, as well as ward/area tasking records.

- 5.13. Superintendent Neighbourhoods for Humberside Police added whilst the data above is useful it only tells part of the story as not all ASB is reported. We know from Humbertalking that ASB is a concern for our residents and businesses which remains a key focus for Humberside Police and Safer Hull. The PSPO provides a vital tool for both the police and council to utilise in relation to this area of business and removal of this order could result in an increase in ASB. The variations as requested to the PSPO to enable the partnership to take enforcement action where required in relation to some challenging behaviours which have been noted within our city.
- 5.14. Ward Councillors – East, West and North Ward Councillors expressed their support for a time extension of the current PSPO and variations of the Order to include the 3 new proposed prohibitions and strengthening the current prohibition regarding alcohol consumption through consultation with the 3 Area Committees.
- 5.15. Hull Community Safety Partnership supported the strengthened and proposed prohibitions to the PSPO for the proposed time and current geographical area at its meeting held on 27th September 2024.
- 5.16. The Council’s Head of Community Safety & Partnerships confirms support for the continuation of the PSPO across the current area and all the proposed/ strengthened prohibitions.
- 5.17. Neighbourhood Nuisance Team - information supplied by the Neighbourhood Nuisance Team indicated that there were a number of hotspot areas where begging and street drinking caused nuisance, alarm and distress to residents across the PSPO area.
- 5.18. Appendix 4 shows Neighbourhood Nuisance Team data from the 1st Jan 22 – 26th Nov 24 from NNT.
- 5.19. Streetscene Services – information supplied by Streetscene Services indicated that there were several hotspot areas where empty cans of alcohol, broken glass, what is thought to be body fluids, handmade signs that would suggest money has been asked for and dirty bedding were cleaned up regularly, suggesting that specific areas are being used for drinking alcohol, bedding down and begging in the public place across the PSPO area. Location specific initiatives are to be explored with partners via Place Based Tasking.
- 5.20. Director of Children, Young People & Family Services – I would support the option to strengthen the current prohibition regarding alcohol, and to add additional conditions to deal with street begging, bedding down and loitering.

5.21. Wider Formal Stakeholder Consultation - the Home Office guidance sets out specifically the range of stakeholders who are required to be consulted ahead of any decision in relation to designating a PSPO area: Representations on the proposed extension of the Order were invited from:

- Humberside Police & Crime Commissioner
- Humberside Police (response summarised in para 5.12 & 5.13)
- Humberside Fire & Rescue Service
- Hull Business Improvement District (refer to para 4.2.6)
- Hull Community Safety Partnership (refer to para 5.15)
- Members of Parliament representing the City
- Hull & Humber Chamber of Commerce
- Neighbourhood Networks
- City Council Service Areas – Public Health, Children & Young People Services (refer to para 5.20), Customer Services
- East, West and North Area Committee Members (refer to para 5.14)
- Hull Culture & Leisure Limited

6. Background

6.1. Hull City Council introduced a Public Spaces Protection Order (PSPO) for the Riverside and Wyke areas in March 2016. Extensions for a further 3-years were agreed in 2019 and 2022 with the current Order expiring on 21 March 2025.

6.2. The Order was made in line with the provisions of the Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014. The Act requires that PSPOs are reviewed every three years (or earlier) to enable them to be extended or varied, providing the Order remains necessary in order to prevent:

- further activities identified in the Order from taking place, or
- the frequency or seriousness of those activities increasing after that time.

6.3. A PSPO only becomes enforceable when there is the likelihood of disorder taking place. They assist in preventing crime and disorder, help to support vulnerable people to break the cycle of begging, bedding down on the streets and reduce the risk to health through excessive alcohol consumption whilst protecting residents and visitors to the area from ASB.

6.4. Legislative Background

- 6.5. The Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act came into force on 20th October 2014. This Act contains the provisions for the Public Spaces Protection Order (PSPO).
- 6.6. The PSPO aims to address persistent problems caused by street drinking, begging, bedding down and loitering. However, consumption of alcohol, bedding down and loitering contrary to the terms of any Order is a separate issue and is not in itself an offence; the offence is committed by failure to comply with a request to surrender the alcohol, refrain from bedding down or loitering, from an authorised person. If someone, without a reasonable excuse, fails to comply with the authorised person's request, then they are committing an offence.
- 6.7. For a PSPO to be extended and a variation to the Order to include strengthening the existing prohibition to address alcohol related ASB, include 2 new prohibitions across the current PSPO area to address begging and bedding down and 1 new prohibition for the Hull City Centre Safer Entertainment Zone only to address loitering related anti-social behaviour, evidence must be obtained and consultation undertaken showing the necessity and need. The Home Office guidance for a PSPO to be introduced, varied or extended is broad. The Council must be satisfied on reasonable grounds that the activities that are being carried out, or likely to be carried out across all the public space;
- has had or is likely to have a detrimental effect on the quality of life of those in the locality;
 - is, or is likely to be, persistent or continuing in nature;
 - is or is likely to be unreasonable, and
 - justifies the restrictions imposed by the notice.
- 6.8. An extension may not be for more than 3 years, but a PSPO can be extended more than once.
- 6.9. In considering the options moving forward, the Council has applied the published Home Office guidance on Public Place Protection Orders (PSPOs); for Local Authorities in England and Wales. The guidance indicates that a PSPO must be reviewed by the local authority that made the Order after three years to determine whether an extension is valid and reasonable, or whether the PSPO should be varied or discharged.
- 6.10. The PSPO would apply to everyone rather than specified individuals.
- 6.11. Therefore, it is not necessary to establish that specific individuals are persistently drinking in the street. It is enough to show that street drinking is a persistent problem. Persistent does not have to be constant so it may be enough if the problem only really occurs at certain times of the day, week etc. It may be having

a detrimental effect on the quality of life of people who work in or visit the Area as well as to residents.

- 6.12. It is important that the PSPO is used proportionately and that it is not seen to be targeting the behaviour of young people where there is a lack of tolerance and understanding by local residents. Consideration must also be given to the Equalities legislation when setting out restrictions or requirements.

7. Issues for Consideration

- 7.1. Alcohol related ASB – The current PSPO can be used to address persistent problems caused by street drinking and also prohibits drinking alcohol in children’s play areas and cemeteries in the target area. A copy of the current Order is attached at appendix 5.
- 7.2. It also prohibits consuming alcohol in a manner that causes, or is likely to cause, harassment, alarm or distress to any person in any public place in the restricted area including the public highway, parks, and any place to which the public or any section of the public has access, on payment of otherwise, as of right or by virtue of express or implied permission (e.g. shopping centres etc.).
- 7.3. The Order does not include premises licensed to sell alcohol under the Licensing Act 2003 such as pubs, bars, restaurants etc. (including outside drinking areas within the curtilage of the licensed premises) or areas covered by a Temporary Events Notice.
- 7.4. Humberside Police have requested the above prohibition is strengthened, giving Police greater enforcement powers to deal with street drinking proactively.
- 7.5. Cumulative Impact Policy – one option that can be used to support the overall management of an area and wider anti-social drinking/crime and disorder in the public place, is the introduction by local authority licensing committees of a Cumulative Impact Policy (CIP). A CIP is a tool for licensing authorities to limit the growth of licensed premises in a problem area.
- 7.6. A Cumulative Impact Policy for the area bordered on and between Spring Bank, Princes Avenue, Newland Avenue, Cottingham Road and Beverley Road was approved by the Council in November 2024. The review of the PSPO needs to take into account the impact of the CIP on alcohol related ASB for which discussions will take place.
- 7.7. New Proposed Provisions - In addition strengthening of the current prohibitions, options to add additional conditions to deal with street begging, bedding down and loitering have also been identified as best practice during a recent benchmarking exercise. Having specific conditions relating to these behaviours enables the Police and enforcement partners to deal effectively with this behaviour.
- 7.8. It is proposed consideration be given to review and amend the current PSPO to include 1 strengthened prohibition and 2 new prohibitions across the current

PSPO area and 1 new prohibition for the City Centre (Safer Entertainment Zone).
The proposed conditions are:

- i. No person shall consume alcohol in any public place in the Drypool, St Andrews & Dockland, Newington & Gypsyville, Avenue, Bricknell, Central, Orchard Park, University and Beverley & Newland Wards other than at licensed premises or shall be in possession of any opened vessel containing or purporting to contain alcohol in any public place save for those places identified by Section 62 of the Act.
- ii. No person shall make any verbal, non-verbal or written request for money, donations or goods, including the placing of hats, clothing or containers so as to cause or is likely to cause harassment, alarm, distress, nuisance or annoyance to any person within the Drypool, St Andrews & Dockland, Newington & Gipsyville, Avenue, Bricknell, Central, Orchard Park, University and Beverley & Newland Wards.
- iii. No person shall loiter, sit or lay on the floor or on temporary structures in or adjacent to doorways or around pay machines (including banks, supermarkets, car parking payment machines) in a manner causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm, distress, nuisance or annoyance to any person within the Drypool, St Andrews & Dockland, Newington & Gipsyville, Avenue, Bricknell, Central, Orchard Park, University and Beverley & Newland Wards.
- iv. No person shall, after being requested to leave by an authorised officer due to them behaving in a manner causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm, distress, nuisance or annoyance to any person within the City Centre without reasonable excuse, remain or return to the City Centre (Safer Entertainment Zone) within a period of 48 hours.

8. Options and Risk Assessment

- 8.1. **Option 1** – extend the timespan of the current East, West and North PSPO for a further 3 years and in addition to strengthening one of the current prohibitions, add an additional three conditions to deal with street begging, bedding down and loitering. Having specific conditions relating to these behaviours enables the Police and enforcement partners to deal effectively with this behaviour. This is the preferred option.
- 8.2. Supporting the time extension of the PSPO and strengthening one of the current prohibitions and add an additional three conditions to deal with street begging, bedding down and loitering will enable the Order to be in force for a further 3 years whilst supporting on-going community priorities in the East, West & North areas.
- 8.3. **Option 2** – discharging the existing PSPO. Whilst this option was considered, public opinion supports an extension of the PSPO, and strengthening one of the current prohibitions and add an additional three conditions to deal with street begging, bedding down and loitering. Removal of the PSPO might reduce enforcement activity in relation to alcohol related ASB in the target areas.

- 8.4. **Option 3** - extend the timespan of the current East, West and North PSPO for a further 3 years. Supporting the time extension of the PSPO will enable the current Order to be in force for a further 3 years.

Risk Assessment

- 8.5. Consideration has been given to the potential impact on other areas/neighbourhoods when progressing a PSPO and the level to which displacement is likely to occur. Once the PSPO has been reviewed, there will be monitoring of impact to determine whether there has been any displacement to areas not covered by the Order. If that proves to be the case, reports will be submitted to Cabinet to consider a variation to the Order in force at that time.
- 8.6. The PSPO will respond to reports of alcohol-related disorder, street begging, bedding down, loitering or nuisance in neighbourhoods. If someone, without a reasonable excuse, fails to comply with the authorised person's request to cease drinking and/or surrender the alcohol, cease begging, bedding down or loitering then they would be committing an offence and could be served with a Fixed Penalty Notice (FPN) or be prosecuted. Without a PSPO in force, authorised officer options are reduced in responding to neighbourhood nuisance related to the consumption of alcohol, street begging, bedding down and loitering.
- 8.7. Adequate signage is needed at key points throughout the designated areas to support enforcement and ensure legal challenges around Fixed Penalty Notices can be countered effectively and confidently. There is a low risk of enforcement action failing if a lack of signage can be evidenced.
- 8.8. In addressing the need for signage, resources are required to support the implementation and enforcement of the PSPO. There is a risk Ward Budgets might not be available to fund all suggested signage resulting in the need to undertake a review of suggested sites with Ward Members and enforcement partners to target signage at hotspot areas.
- 8.9. Any person who lives or works in the restricted area can challenge the validity of a PSPO by applying to the High Court within 6 weeks of the commencement date of the Order. The High court has the power to quash the PSPO if the statutory requirements for making the Order have not been met. The risk of a legal challenge to the validity of the Order is considered to be low.

9. Comments of the Monitoring Officer (Town Clerk)

- 9.1 A public spaces protection order (PSPO) is an order issued by a local authority which is designed to tackle activities carried on in a public place which have a detrimental effect on the quality of life of those in its locality and which prohibits specified things being done in a restricted area or requires specified things to be done by persons carrying on specified activities in that area or does both of those things.

- 9.2 The power to make PSPOs is contained within the Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 (ASBCPA) and is set out comprehensively in the report.
- 9.3 The legality of the proposed order and the risk of challenge must be considered. The validity of PSPO's can be challenged in several ways.
- 9.4 S66 ASBCPA provides a challenge mechanism from an "interested person", in a Section 66 challenge. It should be noted that there is a strict 6 week time limit in which to bring a Section 66 challenge and that time runs from the date that the local authority makes the PSPO (ASBCPA 2014 Section 66(3)).
- 9.5 Anyone charged with breach of an order pursuant to s67 could argue that the PSPO could not lawfully be used to prohibit or restrict a particular activity.
- 9.6 It is possible that an individual may be able to challenge a local authority's decision to use a PSPO to evict rough sleepers by way of judicial review.
- 9.7 Some of the evidential data, for example, the NNT data, is not specific to street drinking. Putting it at its highest, it appears that the data supplied only provides qualified support for the order.
- 9.8 The reasonableness and wording of some of the proposed prohibitions leaves open the possibility of legal challenge and external scrutiny.
- 9.9 The Secretary of State issued guidance in 2014 which has been updated by new guidance from the Home Office entitled Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014: Anti-social behaviour powers – Statutory guidance for frontline professionals. In that guidance, it is stated: "Public Spaces Protection Orders should not be used to target people based solely on the fact that someone is homeless or rough sleeping, as this in itself is unlikely to mean that such behaviour is having an unreasonably detrimental effect on the community's quality of life which justifies the restrictions imposed." Home Office guidance states that PSPOs should not be used to target people based solely on the fact that they are homeless. The proposed prohibition re bedding down appears to be at risk of being contrary to that guidance.
- 9.10 The provision re dispersal appears to risk circumventing the checks and balances that are contained in the provisions for seeking dispersal orders by the Police. The courts have been clear that where there is a specific tailored remedy for a particular mischief, then that particular legislation should be used in the first instance.
- 9.11 Key words in the legislation are "detrimental to the quality of life"; the courts have commented on the need to be able to demonstrate that test is met on a clear evidenced basis.

10. Comments of the Section 151 Officer (Director of Finance and Transformation)

- 10.1. The Section 151 Officer notes the content of the report and has no further comments (JR)

11. Comments of the Assistant Director OD & HR and compliance with the Equality Duty

11.1. The content of the report is noted. There are no staffing or equality issues arising for the council from this decision. KH

12. Comments of Overview and Scrutiny

12.1. This decision has not been subject to pre-decision scrutiny (Ref. Sc8543 (FH))

13. Comments of the Portfolio Holder Community Safety

13.1. I fully support Option 1, to extend the timespan of the current East, West and North PSPO for a further three years and to the strengthening of one of the current prohibitions. In addition to this to add three further conditions to deal with street begging, bedding down and loitering.

Julia Weldon Deputy Chief Executive, Director of Public Health

Contact Officer: Justine Mortimer Neighbourhood Nuisance Manager

Telephone No.:615543

Officer Interests: None

Background Documents: -

Appendix 1 - Current PSPO area



Appendix 1 PSPO
Area.pdf

Appendix 2 - City Centre Safer Entertainment Zone



Safer Entertainment
Zone appendix 2.doc

Appendix 3 - Copy of the Police Data



Appendix 3 Police
Data.docx

Appendix 4 - Copy of the Neighbourhood Nuisance Team Order



Appendix 4
Neighbourhood Nuis

Appendix 5 - Copy of the current Order



Appendix 4 2022
order.pdf

Implications Matrix

This section must be completed and you must ensure that you have fully considered all potential implications

This matrix provides a simple check list for the things you need to have considered within your report

If there are no implications please state

I have informed and sought advice from HR, Legal, Finance, Overview and Scrutiny and the Climate Change Advisor and any other key stakeholders i.e. Portfolio Holder, relevant Ward Members etc prior to submitting this report for official comments	Yes
I have considered whether this report requests a decision that is outside the Budget and Policy Framework approved by Council	Yes
Value for money considerations have been accounted for within the report	Yes
The report is approved by the relevant Director/Assistant Director	Yes
I have included any procurement/commercial issues/implications within the report	N/A
I have considered the potential media interest in this report and liaised with the Media Team to ensure that they are briefed to respond to media interest.	Yes
I have included any equalities and diversity implications within the report and where necessary I have completed an Equalities Impact Assessment and the outcomes are included within the report	Yes
Any Health and Safety implications are included within the report	N/A
Any human rights implications are included within the report	Yes

I have included any community safety implications and paid regard to Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act within the report	Yes
I have liaised with the Climate Change Advisor and any environmental and climate change issues/sustainability implications are included within the report	N/A
I have considered how the decision may contribute or impact on culture and heritage within the city.	Yes
I have included information about how this report contributes to the Community Plan/ Area priorities within the report	Yes
I have considered the impact on air quality, carried out an appropriate assessment and included any resulting actions or opportunities necessary to improve air quality in the report.	N/A