

OFFICIAL

Cabinet

26<sup>th</sup> January, 2026

PRESENT:-

Councillors Chambers, Dad, Dolman, Haines, Ieronimo, Pritchard, Quinn, Ross and Tock.

IN ATTENDANCE:-

M. Jukes (Chief Executive), D. Bell (Executive Director of Corporate Resources), T. Meyerhoff (Executive Director of Adult Social Care, Health and DASS), P. Turner (Director of Children and Young People's Services), L. Dixon (Assistant Director Legal Services and Governance), and L. Hawkins (Democratic Services Officer).

R. Roberts (Strategic Lead for Early Help and Prevention) minute 62, D. Jones (Head of Planning) minute 63, S. Walker (Principal Conservation Officer) minute 64, A. Burton (Assistant Director Streetscene Services) minute 65, A. Umpleby (Strategic Development Manager) minute 66, N. Turner (Head of Economic Strategy and Partnerships) minute 67, and A. Brigham (Strategic Lead for Integration and Well-Being) minute 68.

APOLOGIES:-

Councillor Drake Davis.

<b>Minute No.</b>	<b>Description/Decision</b>	<b>Action By/Deadline</b>
<b>PROCEDURAL ITEMS</b>		
59.	<b>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST</b>  No declarations were received in relation to the items that follow below.	
60.	<b>MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 15<sup>TH</sup> DECEMBER 2025</b>  <b>Agreed</b> – that, the minutes of the meeting held on Monday, 15 <sup>th</sup> December, 2025, be taken as read and correctly recorded and be signed by the Chair.	
61.	<b>PUBLIC QUESTIONS</b>  No public questions were received.	

## NON-EXEMPT ITEMS

## Key Decisions

62.

**DEPARTMENT FOR EDUCATION-HOLIDAY ACTIVITIES AND FOOD PROGRAMME (HAF) 2026-29**

The Director of Children, Young People and Families Services submitted a report which informed the Cabinet of the proposed implementation plans and the budget for the delivery of the Department for Education's (DfE) 'Holiday Activities and Food (HAF)' programme 2026-29, and to sought approval for the decisions necessary to enable delivery of the programme.

The Director of Children, Young People and Families Services outlined the recommendations as set out within the report. She explained that each programme was reviewed with the partner organisations and demands for future programmes were looked at.

A discussion took place and members commented that the programme was an example of a strong partnership which had worked well together.

The comments of the Children, Young People and Families Overview and Scrutiny Commission held on 19<sup>th</sup> January 2026 were noted.

**Moved by Councillor Tock and seconded by Councillor Ieronimo**

To approve the proposed programme approach within the funding for Hull's HAF 2026-29 programme, specifically:

- To accept the grant terms agreed by Assistant Director, Legal Services and Governance with the DfE for 2026-29.
- To continue to operate a Public Sector/Voluntary Sector steering group to build on the development and delivery work carried out in previous holiday programmes.
- To provide continued governance for the investment of the grant funds in a manner that supports sustainable model for delivery of Holiday Activities and the Food Programme.
- To delegate authority to the Director of Children and Families Services in consultation with Portfolio Holder for Children's Services and Assistant Director, Legal Services and Governance to procure and authorise entry into the contracts necessary to deliver the HAF programme up to the value of the funding envelope.

**Motion carried.**

	<p><u>Reasons for Recommendations</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• From 2021-2026 the DfE provided 150 tier 1 local authorities with funding to deliver a Holiday Activities and Food Programme. This has now been extended for a further 3 years, this was confirmed via an email from the DfE on the 25 August 2025. The 2021-2026 programme has delivered support for children, young people and families following the challenges they have faced during the pandemic and ongoing financial insecurity.</li> <li>• School holidays can be pressure points for some families because of increased costs (such as food and childcare) and reduced incomes. For some children that can lead to a holiday experience gap - with children from disadvantaged families less likely to access organised out-of-school activities; more likely to experience 'unhealthy holidays' in terms of nutrition and physical health and are more likely to experience social isolation</li> <li>• The proposals provide the basis for the ongoing development of a sustainable model for delivery on a partnership basis operating across the public sector and voluntary sector partners in the city.</li> </ul>	
63.	<p><b>HULL AND EAST YORKSHIRE LOCAL NATURE RECOVERY STRATEGY</b></p> <p>The Executive Director for Regeneration and Partnerships submitted a report which sought to gain Cabinet support for the publication of the Hull and East Yorkshire Local Nature Recovery Strategy so that it could inform planning decisions and nature protection and improvements in the city.</p> <p>The comments of the Planning Committee held on 20<sup>th</sup> January 2026 were noted.</p> <p>The Head of Planning informed the Cabinet that the Local Nature Recovery Strategy was a Government requirement; that they were spatial strategies designed to prioritise action for nature restoration and improvement; that the East Riding of Yorkshire Council had been appointed as the lead authority and that Hull City Council and Hull and East Yorkshire Combined Authority were supporting authorities; that the strategy set out four main priorities and nine additional priorities for consideration; that the consultation process had commenced in 2024, and that the strategy would be adopted on 16<sup>th</sup> February 2026.</p> <p>A discussion took and members commented that the priorities set out in the strategy aligned with the Council's priorities and that the strategy would assist the Council in meeting its net zero ambitions.</p>	

	<p>The comments of Planning Committee held on 20<sup>th</sup> January 2026 were noted.</p> <p><b>Moved by Councillor Quinn and seconded by Councillor Pritchard</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. That Cabinet supports the content of the HEY LNRS;</li> <li>b. That Cabinet confirms there are no objections to the publication of the LNRS on the 16th February 2026, and</li> <li>c. That post adoption, an all member training session be held on the LNRS to explain its purpose and how it can be used to improve habitat and nature across the city and how these can positively benefit our residents.</li> </ul> <p><b>Motion carried.</b></p> <p><u>Reasons for Recommendations</u> The HEY LNRS has been developed in compliance with all regulations and statutory guidance and has the support of statutory agencies in moving to publication.</p>	<p>Executive Director for Regeneration and Partnerships</p>
<p>64.</p>	<p><b>GEORGIAN NEW TOWN CONSERVATION AREA RE-SURVEY</b></p> <p>The Executive Director for Regeneration and Partnerships submitted a report which set out the work completed to undertake a re-survey of the Georgian New Town Conservation Area (GNTCA).</p> <p>The Head of Planning explained that the GNTCA had been in existence since the 1970's and had last been surveyed in 1996. The consultation proposed would commence on 2<sup>nd</sup> February 2026.</p> <p>The comments of West Area Committee held on 19<sup>th</sup> January and the Planning Committee held on 20<sup>th</sup> January 2026 were noted.</p> <p><b>Moved by Councillor Ross and seconded by Councillor Dolman</b></p> <p>That Committee and Cabinet give permission to undertake public consultation on the following items:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. To undertake consultation on the GNTCA draft Character Appraisal and Management Plan (as submitted at Appendix A).</li> <li>ii. To undertake consultation on the adoption of an Article 4 Direction for the GNTCA following which a report shall be presented to full Council with final recommendation(s).</li> </ul>	<p>Executive Director for Regeneration and Partnerships</p>

	<p><u>Reasons for Recommendations</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Section 69(1) of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 (the Act) advises that from time to time the Council should determine which parts of their area are of special architectural or historic interest and designate those areas as conservation areas. Section 69(2) advises that from time to time the Council should review this past function. It is common practice that a re-appraisal should take place within a period of five years.</li> <li>• Section 71(1) of the Act advises that it shall be the duty of the Council from time to time formulate and publish proposals for the preservation and enhancement of any parts of their area which are conservation areas. Such proposals usually take the form of a 'Character Appraisal' or 'Management Plan', and it is common practice to review any existing documents within a period of five years.</li> <li>• Policy 16 (4) of the Hull Local Plan states that where evidence supports it, Article 4 Directions removing permitted development rights will be introduced to preserve the character of an area.</li> <li>•</li> </ul>	
65.	<p><b>PROCUREMENT OF HIGHWAYS MATERIALS AND ROCK SALT</b></p> <p>The Executive Director for Regeneration and Partnerships submitted a report which sought approval from Cabinet to procure and establish a framework contract for Highways Materials and Rock Salt, with an estimated value of £590,000 a year across all lots.</p> <p><b>Moved by Councillor Ieronimo and seconded by Councillor Dad</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. That the Assistant Director (Streetscene) is authorised to undertake an open tender procedure to establish a framework contract for the supply of Highways Materials and Rock Salt.</li> <li>b. That authority to appoint contractors to the framework is delegated to the Assistant Director (Streetscene) in consultation with the Assistant Director of Legal Services and Governance;</li> <li>c. For accepting suppliers onto the framework on each individual lot, the tender submissions are to be evaluated using a 70% price and 30% quality ratio;</li> <li>d. For any call-off that takes place under the terms of this framework, this will be evaluated at 100% price;</li> <li>e. That the award of contracts from the framework may be by way of direct award or mini-competition, and that award in each case is delegated to the Assistant Director</li> </ol>	Executive Director for Regeneration and Partnerships

	<p>in consultation with the Assistant Director of Legal Services and Governance.</p> <p><b>Motion carried.</b></p> <p><u>Reasons for Recommendations</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is recommended to tender a framework contract as this may encourage more suppliers with local depots to apply for the business opportunity thus safeguarding local employment. The proximity of local depots will enable Officers to collect and obtain supplies urgently when necessary, so that highways works maintain a continuum and reduce idle time. However, local proximity cannot be the sole criterion for selection.</li> <li>• It is recommended that a maximum of 2 suppliers per Lot be accepted onto the framework to provide a secure supply base. If a supplier does not have an item in stock then alternative suppliers on the framework will be approached for direct awards. In the case of mini-competitions, all suppliers on the relevant Lot may bid. A direct award methodology may also allow for urgent collections to be made from supplier depots close to work sites.</li> <li>• Each of the materials are to be procured through a Lot-based approach. The Lots to be tendered are:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Lot 1 – Concrete</li> <li>○ Lot 2 – Tarmac</li> <li>○ Lot 3 – Rock Salt</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Price has been given a higher percentage weighting (70%) over quality (30%), as specifications are provided which suppliers must comply with. The quality will be mainly evaluated based on the suppliers' ability to obtain and hold stock for the Authority and lead times to reduce workers downtime when waiting for urgent materials to arrive.</li> <li>• The rock salt is funded from existing revenue budgets through the Winter Maintenance cost code. This is statutory function through the Highways Act 1980, and the requirement to have 5000 tonnes of salt prior to the gritting season.</li> <li>• However, depending on the severity of the winter season will impact on the salt usage and requirement.</li> <li>• The provision of tarmac and concrete is funded through Major Projects. This is for the delivery of both capital and revenue schemes.</li> </ul>	
<p>66.</p>	<p><b>LIQUID FUEL</b></p> <p>The Executive Director for Regeneration and Partnerships submitted a report which sought Cabinet approval for the procurement of a Liquid Fuel contract for an initial period of 3-</p>	<p>Executive Director for Regeneration</p>

<p>years with options to extend for a further 1-year period followed by a further 1- year period.</p> <p>A discussion took place and members commented that it was pleasing to see the work that was being done to decarbonise the Council's fleet and in supporting its net zero ambitions.</p> <p><b>Moved by Councillor Ieronimo and seconded by Councillor Dad</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. That Cabinet approve the procurement of a Liquid Fuel contract for an initial period of 3-years with options to extend for a further 1-year period followed by a further 1-year period;</li> <li>b. That the Yorkshire Purchasing Organisation YPO Framework 1173 - Liquid Fuels and Associated Products (Hull City Council - Lot 7) is utilised for the procurement of the Supply of Liquid Fuels by way of a further competition exercise;</li> <li>c. That the Yorkshire Purchasing Organisation YPO Framework 1173 - Liquid Fuels and Associated Products (Hull City Council - Lot 27) is utilised for the procurement of the Supply of Fuel Cards by way of a further competition exercise;</li> <li>d. That the tenders shall be evaluated on the basis of 70% price 20% quality and 10% social value;</li> <li>e. The anticipated value of the Liquid Fuel contract is £1.5-£1.7million/annum based on spend analysis for the 2024 calendar year;</li> <li>f. The anticipated value of the Fuelcard scheme is £80,000-£100,000 annum based on spend analysis for the 2024 calendar year, and</li> <li>g. That the Assistant Director of Streetscene, in consultation with the Town Clerk and Portfolio Holder for Transport and Infrastructure be authorised to enter into a contract with the most economically advantageous bidder.</li> </ol> <p><b>Motion carried.</b></p> <p><u>Reasons for Recommendations</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The current contractual arrangement for the Supply of Liquid Fuels &amp; Fuel cards has come to an end. It is essential that a new contract is awarded via a compliant procurement process for the continued operation of key Council services and to ensure compliance with the Public Contract Regulations 2015 and the Council's Contract Procedure Rules (CPR's).</li> <li>• The Yorkshire Purchasing Organisation YPO Framework 1173 - Liquid Fuels and Associated Products framework was procured ensuring compliance to the Public Contract Regulations 2015. In February 2025 the Public Contract</li> </ul>	<p>and Partnerships</p> <p>Executive Director for Regeneration</p>
--	--

	<p>Regulations 2015 have been superseded with the Procurement Act 2023. The Public Contract Regulations 2015 remain applicable to this framework and the lifetime of any contract procured through it.</p>	
67.	<p><b>PRIDE IN PLACE NEIGHBOURHOOD PROGRAMME ACCEPTANCE OF FUNDING AND DEVELOPMENT OF DELIVERY PROPOSALS</b></p> <p>The Executive Director of Regeneration and Partnerships submitted a report which set out the issues that would need to be considered in order to ensure an effective and co-ordinated approach across Hull on the development and implementation of the programme within the three areas selected by MHCLG (Orchard Park, Greatfield, and Boulevard &amp; St. Andrews Quay).</p> <p>The Head of Economic Strategy and Partnerships explained that the report built on the guidance that had been provided by Government; that funding of £20m would be allocated over a ten year period to each of the three areas; that the funding was aimed at long term regeneration at an area level; that the projects would be community led, and that there would be linkages to the Area Committees.</p> <p>Members commented that the funding would provide significant opportunities for local areas and it was recognised that the governance would be key to successful delivery of the Pride in Place programme.</p> <p>The comments of the Overview and Scrutiny Management Committee held on 23<sup>rd</sup> January 2026 were noted.</p> <p><b>Moved by Councillor Dolman and seconded by Councillor Dad</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. That Cabinet accepts and confirms to Ministry of Housing Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) its role as the Accountable Body for the Pride in Place Neighbourhood Programme Fund for the three areas identified by MHCLG (Orchard Park, Greatfield, and Boulevard &amp; St. Andrews Quay), subject to the terms of the Government's guidance including the proposals to support alternative delivery mechanisms;</li> <li>b. That Cabinet approves that the Council, in its role as the Accountable Body, accepts the initial grant allocation for £150k per neighbourhood in 2025/26, which supports initial delivery and development work to facilitate community engagement, board development and to start the process of community engagement, appointment of an Independent Chair, establishment of the Neighbourhood Board, and production of the Pride in Place Neighbourhood Pride in Place Plans;</li> </ol>	<p>Executive Director of Regeneration and Partnerships</p>

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>c. That Cabinet approves that the Council, in its role as Accountable Body, accepts, manages, and supports delivery of the funding in future years, subject to approval of the individual Pride in Place Neighbourhood Pride in Place Plans by MHCLG. This funding is anticipated to be £20m over the 10-year life of the programme for each of the three funded areas (£60m in total) enabling delivery of local priorities in line with the national programme's objectives;</li><li>d. That Cabinet approves delegation to the Executive Director for Corporate Resources (Section 151 Officer), to authorise the allocation of spend within Price in Place Programme subject to eligibility and neighbourhood plan priorities via the Decision Record process, following receipt of proposals from the neighbourhoods, and in consultation with the Cabinet Portfolio Holder for Communities and Community Safety, Executive Directors for Regeneration &amp; Partnerships and Housing and Communities, and Assistant Director for Legal Services and Governance;</li><li>e. That Cabinet approves delegation to the Executive Director for Regeneration &amp; Partnerships in consultation with the relevant Cabinet Portfolio Holders, Ward Members, Members of Parliament, the Independent Chairs (once appointed), Executive Director for Housing and Communities and Assistant Director for Legal Services and Governance to put forward any changes to the boundaries for each of the three neighbourhoods prior to final negotiation and agreement with MHCLG;</li><li>f. That Cabinet approves the delegation for approval of decisions in relation to the final governance arrangements and Board membership for the Neighbourhood Boards to the Executive Directors for Regeneration &amp; Partnerships and Housing and Communities in consultation with the relevant local MPs and Ward Members, the Cabinet Portfolio Holder for Communities and Community Safety, and Assistant Director for Legal Services and Governance. Governance arrangements are subject to agreement with MHCLG enabling authorisation of expenditure by the Council as Accountable Body for the programme;</li><li>g. That Cabinet approves delegation to the Executive Director for Regeneration &amp; Partnerships in consultation with the relevant Cabinet Portfolio Holders, Ward Members, Members of Parliament, the Independent Chair (once appointed), Executive Directors for Corporate Resources and for Housing and Communities and the Assistant Director for Legal Services and Governance to establish appropriate management and administration arrangements as part of the development of the Pride in Place Plans for each area, and</li></ul> |  |
|---|--|

	<p>h. That Cabinet approves the delegation for the approval of decisions to submit the Pride in Place Neighbourhood Plans developed by each of the three Neighbourhood Boards to MHCLG to Executive Director for Regeneration &amp; Partnerships in consultation with the Executive Directors for Housing and Communities and Corporate Resources and the Assistant Director for Legal Services and Governance.</p> <p><b>Motion carried.</b></p> <p><u>Reasons for Recommendations</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To ensure that £150k initial funding to support community engagement and development during 2025/26 from the Pride in Place programme is committed and spent in line with the receipt of the grant funding and makes an immediate visible impact of the programme ensuring the objectives and communities feel directly involved in the development of the programme and its governance arrangements.</li> <li>• The recommendations support open and transparent engagement with representatives from the neighbourhoods and will help to ensure that value for money is achieved both with regards to the expenditure and with the appropriate delegations to enable the Council to meet the project delivery timescales and objectives stipulated by MHCLG.</li> <li>• While this is a community-led programme, the local authority has a crucial role to play in supporting delivery at a local level. The Council will:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Be the accountable body for Pride in Place Programme funding at the start of the programme and play a critical role in enabling and supporting the Neighbourhood Board to develop plans for spending.</li> <li>○ Work with the local MPs to appoint the Neighbourhood Board Chair.</li> <li>○ Work with the local MPs to approve the final Board member selection proposed by the Chair.</li> <li>○ Support the Neighbourhood Boards to develop Pride in Place Plan, setting out a 10-year vision for the area and detailing how funding will be spent in the first 4- year investment cycle.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<p>68.</p>	<p><b>ADDITIONAL FUNDING TO DELIVER THE ADULT SOCIAL CARE CQC IMPROVEMENT PLAN</b></p> <p>The Executive Director for Adult Social Care and Health (DASS) submitted a report which set out options to address the waiting lists for Occupational Therapy (OT) assessments, Adaptations</p>	

and OT Technician delivery, and statutory annual reviews of care and support; and deprivation of liberty safeguards (DoLS).

Members acknowledged that there was an increasing demand on Occupational Therapy services as individuals wanted to continue living at home independently.

A discussion took place around the comments of the Health and Wellbeing Overview and Scrutiny Commission in relation to their concerns about the use of capital receipts to fund the proposals. Members acknowledged that the Council was moving away from using capital receipts in that manner however it was an appropriate use of the funding.

**Moved by Councillor Chambers and seconded by Councillor Dad**

**One Year Investment funded through Capital Receipts Transformation Flexibility:**

- a. To invest **£123k** additional revenue funding to appoint to agency social workers through the Council's Comensura Framework Contract for a period of 12 months to clear the Care Act annual review backlog;
- b. To invest an additional **£521k** to address the DoLS waiting list backlog to outsource 20 assessments per week for 12 months through the procured external BIA framework;
- c. To apply **£645k** revenue funding within the internal team to expand Occupational Therapy roles capacity to address the backlog of current assessments;
- d. To increase, on a one-off basis, the budget for community equipment by **£97k** through the Mediquip Framework Contract to cover the cost of the additional equipment provided following the completion of the backlog assessments and to deliver modular ramps to clear the OT Technician backlog;

**HRA and Better Care Plan Housing Budget Setting**

- e. To note the intention through HRA budget setting process to provide for a further **£4.2m** HRA capital investment (**£3m** KWL and **£1.2m** non-KWL) in 2026/7 to address the Major and Minor Adaptations identified above;
- f. To note that there exist 99 Major Adaptation legacy cases funded by HRA and 120 legacy cases funded by the Better Care Fund (Disabled Facilities Grant) which require additional short term surveying capacity from the available funds and to authorise the application of **£99k (£78k** Housing Revenue Account (HRA) and **£21k** Disabled Facilities Grant (DFG)) from the available funds to provide for the employment of 2 additional surveyors for 1 year to address this backlog;

Executive  
Director for  
Adult Social  
Care and Health  
(DASS)

- g. To approve prioritisation of the application of available funds within the Better Care Plan 2026/7 DFG provision to the cost of the legacy major adaptations' capital works.

**Adult Social Care Budget Setting Resource Adjustment**

- h. Subject to approval through Council of an adjustment to the Adult Social Care Budget by £208k/annum through two spend to save schemes.
- o To invest **£101k** per annum into the Assistive Technology Team to fund two social workers. In 2025 -2026 up to Period 7 the service has made a saving of £144k via the Assistive Technology scheme, this shows that the scheme will more than cover the costs needed to fund the Social Workers.
  - o To invest **£107k** per annum to expand the Shared Lives team to expand the Shared Lives scheme in Hull. This expansion would enable the number of people in the shared lives scheme to increase to 50 full time and 25 respite placements. If all new placements are diverted from Supported living or Residential care and the more expensive respite offer currently available, there would be a potential saving of £944k per annum. This could take up to 12 months to achieve so the full savings would show in the final financial quarter of 26/27, this would cover the costs of the 2 additional grade 8 officers needed to expand the service.

**Motion carried.**

Reasons for Recommendations

- Under Part One of the Care Act 2014 the Council has duties to fulfil and the CQC now has statutory responsibility under the Health and Care Act 2022 to independently review and assess how Local Authorities are delivering on these duties. The CQC assessed that Hull City Council has significant shortfalls in delivering its statutory duties in two of the nine domains considered by CQC. The recommendations included in the report which relate to Occupational Therapy intervention including community equipment and adaptations and Care Act annual reviews will address current shortfalls in meeting the statutory duties under the Care Act and will also ensure that a robust improvement plan can be presented to the Department of Health and Social Care (DHSC) in response to their section 50 notification. They will also ensure that should CQC re-assess in the future that there is clear evidence that the shortfalls they previously identified have been addressed. Though not specifically included within the section 50 notification, the DoLS waiting list is a known and increasing risk which if not addressed will mean that statutory duties are not met and

	<p>this will be identified by CQC in any follow up assessment. The recommendation to address this will ensure that statutory duties are met whilst a wider review is undertaken.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Care Act 2014 requires local authorities to arrange services which contribute to “preventing or delaying the development by adults in its area of needs for care and support” and “reduce the needs for care and support for adults in its area” and this also applies to carers needs too. Local authorities also have responsibilities under the Care Act 2014 to understand the local care market, to ensure that people and their carers have choice over how their needs are met and to ensure that the care market remains vibrant and stable. The two proposed ‘spend to save’ recommendations to deliver assistive technologies and to expand the Shared Lives Scheme will contribute directly to meeting these statutory duties. They will also support delivery of the wellbeing principle under the Care Act.</li> </ul>	
<p>69</p>	<p><b>COUNCIL TAX BASE REPORT 2026/27</b></p> <p>The Executive Director of Corporate Resources submitted a report which requested the Cabinet to approve the calculation of the Council’s Tax Base (equivalent number of Band D properties) for the year 2026/27.</p> <p>The comments of the Overview and Scrutiny Management Committee held on 23<sup>rd</sup> January 2026 were noted.</p> <p><b>Moved by Councillor Dad and seconded by Councillor Ross</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. That Members approve the calculation of the Council’s Tax Base (equivalent number of Band D properties) for the year 2026/27, and</li> <li>b. That Members note that pursuant to the report and in accordance with the Local Authorities (Calculation of Tax Base) Regulations 2012, the amount of Band D equivalent properties calculated by the Kingston upon Hull City Council as its tax base for the year 2026/27 shall be 67,208.</li> </ol> <p><b>Motion carried.</b></p> <p><u>Reasons for Recommendations</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Council Tax Base Report sets the foundation for the Council’s budget for 2026/27, taking into account changes in the number of homes in the Council Tax list and how much Council Tax the Council are realistically able to collect.</li> </ul>	<p>Executive Director of Corporate Resources</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The Council Tax Base has to be approved by Cabinet and notified to precepting authorities before 31<sup>st</sup> January 2026.</li></ul>	
--	--	--

The above Executive Decisions will come into force and may be implemented on expiry of five working days after the publication of the decisions i.e. 6<sup>th</sup> February 2026, unless called in by the Overview and Scrutiny Management Committee.

Published – 29<sup>th</sup> January 2026

DRAFT