

RED - Action allocated by Committee - pending action
AMBER (A) - Matter is ongoing/outstanding further information or review of Committee to delete item
GREEN (G) - Action is complete
WP - Work Programme

Meeting Date	Upload Date (H)	New Item / Standard Items (S/Q/A)	Agenda Items	Responsible Officer	Contact Officer	Minute Number	Reason for submission and Actions arising	Actioning Officer	Outcome	Status	RAG (Action Status + Relevant Code)
<b>STANDARD ITEMS</b>											
15.5.19			Property Disposals								G
15.5.19			Property Disposals - investment in the Wyke area			93c and 21h	93(c) that the Committee notes the value of property sold within the Wyke Area and has concerns that the level of reinvestment in the Wyke Area is disproportionate. As such, the Committee requests that the matter be reviewed and that the proceeds of sale are returned to the Wyke Area Committee, to determine appropriate reinvestment within the area;  <u>Associated minute</u> 21 (h) (2019) that whilst Members note the response of the Portfolio Holder for Economic Investment, Regeneration and Planning, Land and Property with regard to the level of reinvestment in the Wyke Area, Members continue to have concerns around the process and rationale for reinvestment. Members request that the matter is referred to Cabinet for further consideration with regard to i) the role of the Area Committee in relation to the disposal of locally based assets and the management of capital receipts, ii) further clarification of the value, percentage and location of property sold in the Wyke area iii) the percentage of monies reinvested locally, and iv) that consideration is given to returning the remaining proceeds of sales to the Wyke Area, to enable the Committee to determine appropriate reinvestment in the local area (minutes 92c and 92d also refer)	Nick Howbridge Councillor Hale	referred to Sept 2019 Cabinet -11.10.19 following referral to Cabinet, the Deputy Leader will provide a direct response to the Committee 19.11.19 Under the Executive Scheme of Delegation, Area Committees have no delegated authority to take decisions that would involve the disposal or acquisition of any property, however, all disposals across the city are subject to discussion with elected members prior to any informed decision being taken, to ensure that Members local knowledge and concerns are taken into account. It is important for the city that we remain as one city council and do not segregate service delivery by the development of 7 parish councils; which is where the Wyke proposal could potentially lead.To date there has been significant spend on Pearson Park and the significant proposed spend on Beverley Road Baths, as well as the planned expenditure on Scott Street Bridge, the National Picture House and 2 match-funded Heritage Lottery schemes. All of these projects are located in the Wyke area, which demonstrates the Council's commitment to local investment across the city. As such there is no intention to amend the Executive Scheme of delegation at this time.  14.10 all disposals across the city are subject to discussion with elected Members prior to any informed decision being taken, and this continues to be the case. Capital receipts from the sale of properties are retained in a central fund regardless of the area of origin, and any expenditure will be prioritised accordingly to support the Council's commitment to local citywide investment Any consideration around ring fencing would impact upon this commitment and there is no intention to revisit this matter at this time. (Director of Finance)	RETAINED BY MEMBERS FOR AUDIT TRAIL	G
17.7.19							109e	Associated minute - 15.1.20 70(c) that Members receive a fuller explanation of i) the location of properties sold in the Wyke Area and associated capital receipts, ii) reinvestment in the locality and iii) potential options for central funding and reinvestment in the Avenues Education Centre (Minute 21h/92c also refers);  Associated minute 18.11.20 - 109(e) that Members note that whilst a response has been received around the location of property sold in the Wyke Area and associated capital receipts; in the absence of figures, they remain unconvinced of the financial commitment and request that a further response is provided to include the exact figures reinvested in the Wyke Area.  <b>(b)that Members receive a response with regard to the location and capital receipts achieved though the disposal of property assets in the Wyke Area, to the November meeting alongside the Annual Property Disposal update, in order to conclude outstanding actions recorded by this Committee (minute 93c, 21h and 109e refer);</b>	David Bell / Nick Howbridge	email forwarded 9.12.20 to request update 7.1.21 response - information is being sourced however there are pressures upon the service area at this time.  Follow up email sent 10.2.21, 3.3.21, 20.4.21 delayed due to service pressures arising from Covid-19  <b>6.5.21 requested likely timeframe for response</b> <b>30.6.21 emailed for update. further email circulated cc to Head of Governance on 8.7.21 and 24.8.21</b> <b>Minutes forwarded 15.9 and further emails sent 20.10, 21, 3.11.21 and 8.11 to request information for circulation.</b> <b>8.11 Head of Governance updated</b> 15.12.21 emailed for update <b>11.1.22 emails</b>	ongoing
<b>COVID - 19 (2020)</b>											
			COMMITTEE COMMENTS AND RESPONSES TO QUERIES RAISED								
20.5.20			ROAD SAFETY STRATEGY					Tim Robinson			A

20.5.20					email trail	<p>Agreed by email trail</p> <p>a) That Members of the Wyke Area Committee note the report and have concerns as to whether the document incorporates the true essence of an effective strategy and as such, provide the following comments:</p> <p>i) that much of the data remains outdated and whilst it may identify that pedestrian injuries are high, the data is meaningless without causal information, and that it appears to demonstrate a tactical approach rather than a strategy; ii) that the inclusion of an abridged version of the strategy does not provide sufficient information in order to make an informed decision on the final strategy; iii) that whilst recognising the Highways Code requires drivers to accept responsibility for their conduct, the strategy should be equally weighted to reflect that pedestrians etc must also be held to account for their actions; iv) that the strategy failed to address key pinch points and speed restrictions in accordance with original data bases. v) That Members local area knowledge is invaluable and that they should be fully consulted in all matters relating to their respective Wards ; vi) that the strategy does not take the opportunity to encourage a reduction in car usage or consider more sustainable transport, including further consideration of Wyke's earlier call for more electronic charging points; vii) that the strategy does not consider the advancement of modern vehicles in terms of improved handling, braking distances etc; viii) that whilst there may be some merit in the speedwatch approach, the Council policy on speed checks needs to be reviewed as Members believe that the current process is ineffective and the use of high visibility wear and road indicators does not provide representative sampling; ix) that there is an inconsistent use of language across the different reporting mechanisms and use of jargon, which is confusing for the reader. This must be addressed to ensure that the document is clear and transparent to all, for example, one clear definition such as 'motorbike' should be used to capture all classification of powered, two-wheeled vehicles; x) that further clarity is required around the objectives of the Humber Booklet and what relevant data and weight it provides to the strategy; that the strategy; and xi) that schools should be actively encouraged to book driver safety courses;</p> <p>b) that in summary, it is the recommendation of Members of the Wyke Area Committee that the Draft Road Strategy is not endorsed and that no changes are agreed by the Portfolio Holder until such time as the Strategy has been fully revised, in line with the aforementioned comments, and that the report is recirculated to the Area Committee for further consideration. Members also request that this is accompanied by the complete version of the strategy to ensure that Members are able to make an informed evaluation of the full content.</p>	Tim Robinson	<p><b>11.5.21 Briefing paper on agenda action to be deleted once informal meeting has been scheduled</b></p>	ongoing	A
18.11.20		Road safety strategy			email trail	<p><b>(j) that Members concerns around the Road Safety Strategy and delays in the provision of the correct information and figures are drawn to the attention of the Director of Regeneration to ensure that Members continue to be updated in a timely manner;</b></p> <p><u>related minute 133c (20.1.21)</u></p> <p>(c) that the Road Safety Strategy be considered by this Committee at its meeting in March, 2021</p> <p><b>related minute 17.3.21</b></p> <p><b>154 (b) that Members request that the full Road Safety Strategy is submitted to the May meeting to enable Members to conduct a full and robust review of the data;</b></p>	Ruth Stephenson/ Mark Jones	<p>12.1.21 The Director of Regeneration and Head of Highways Transport Strategy and design note the Committees concerns. The Safer Roads Humber dashboard provides a useful tool and insight into the data, however the impact of two further national lockdowns have placed significant pressures upon the service area as we try and continue to respond to the Council's priorities. Community Speedwatch has also been impacted due to Government Guidelines and national lockdown, and police representatives have since been diverted to additional Covid support duties. In terms of the Road Safety Strategy it is still intended that the Strategy will go back to Scrutiny before any final decisions are implemented but this has also been subject to the aforementioned delays; however we will ensure that any further comments arising from the Committee following the safer Roads Humber update will be fed into this report, and the provision of information will continue to be monitored to ensure this is conducted in a timely manner'. (RS)</p> <p>9.3.20 - The Highways department have been under considerable pressure due to Covid-19 and the impact upon the delivery of a number of time-sensitive, major schemes across the city. As such we have been unable to meet the deadline for the March meeting and respectfully request that the matter is deferred to the May meeting, where we aim to deliver an update on the Safer Roads Humber Partnership dashboard and to provide some background information as to how the data is collected, interpreted and used to determine our priorities for the Road Safety Action Plan" Alternatively a workshop could be arranged outside the meeting to facilitate tour of the dashboard, should Members prefer (GT)</p> <p><b>30.6.21 To delete once informal has been scheduled -pending dates</b></p>	ongoing	A
19.5.21					166b	<p>(b) that an informal workshop be scheduled outside of the Area Committee cycle, to enable a fuller discussion around the road safety data;</p>	Tim Robinson	<p><b>17.12.21 dates are currently being sought around Member/officer availability. Room 77 / C1 suggested as possible venue with screen access</b></p>	ongoing	A

20.5.20						<p>b) that Members have concerns that re-categorisation appears to have been undertaken without consultation and a broken finger is now recorded as a 'serious' injury. Members believe that this is a disproportionate assessment which may mislead the public to assume that a substantial and potentially life changing injury has occurred. Members believe that this debases the value of data and refer this matter to the Council's representatives on the Police Scrutiny Committee for further review; and</p>	<p>Councillors Chaytor, Tock and Herrera-Richmond</p>	<p>18.6.20 - We acknowledge receipt of the Committee's concerns and have forwarded to seek further clarification (SC) 7.9.20 - referred to People and Communities Scrutiny by OSMC.</p> <p>ACC Anderson is scheduled to attend the People and Communities OSC meeting to be held on 14th January 2021 in relation to the referral from Wyke Area Committee with regards to Humberside Police working relations on a local level. An opportunity will also be taken at this meeting to review the further referral in relation to categorisation of serious injuries. (Members updated by email 9.12.20) (meeting cancelled and items deferred to march due to Covid -19</p> <p>This issue was on agenda for discussion at P&amp;C in March 21 however the matter was not discussed. further contact has been made with the ACC to raise the issue and seek some resolution. 28.4.21</p> <p>UPDATE: - Item on P&amp;C agenda in October 21 with Humberside Police in attendance to consider crime statistics. Further discussion will take place around categorisation of injuries at this meeting.</p> <p><b>P&amp;C response 3.11.21 - the Home Office counting rules govern how these are recorded and a broken finger as part of an assault in terms of actual bodily harm where a victim would lose the use of would be defined as serious harm. The intent of an offender also determined classification alongside the mannerisms of the defendant.</b></p> <p><b>Members would like Department of Transport and Highways views (17.11.21)</b></p>	<p>proposed for deletion</p>	G
20.5.20 AND 16.9.20					95H	<p>Members seek clarification as to what provision has been made for Area Committees to contribute to the discussions around the Inner ring roads</p> <p>SUBSEQUENT MINUTE RAISED 16.9.20</p> <p><b>(h) that Members note the response of Overview and Scrutiny Committee to their recent referral and suggest that the ring road workshop and working group is progressed with effect from October 2020 to ensure that there are no further delays; and</b></p>	<p>OSMC/ Ruth Stephenson</p>	<p>Response: Following consideration of the Council Motion, OSMC have requested a further report in the first instance to consider the request for a Task and Finish Panel to look into the issues relating to the Inner Ring Road. this is expected for July 2020 and further direction around consultation at Area Committee level will follow</p> <p>added to work programme pending confirmation of timescale AC consultation</p> <p>8.9.20 A discussion took place at OSMC and a workshop/working group will be established to progress further consideration</p> <p>9.11.20 The decision has been taken not to progress the task and finish on the Ring Road due to the wider immediate priorities, including resource reallocation toward A63, Devolution and TFN, taking priority on our limited strategic and delivery resource base.</p> <p>18.11.20 - Member note that this is <u>not</u> cancelled and has been delayed at the request of ERYC</p> <p>12.1.21 no development to report at this time</p> <p>28.4.21 A further meeting is being scheduled with the Chairs of E&amp;I and OSMC, Councillor Fareham, representation of Liberal Democrats, Mark Jones and Garry Taylor to discuss next steps</p> <p><b>Discussions remain ongoing</b></p>	<p>ongoing</p>	A
15.7.20			community safety update							A
15.7.20					75d	<p>75d that an informal meeting be scheduled between Ward Members, Humberside Police and the Neighbourhood Nuisance Team to consider a strategy for action to address some of the wider issues in the Wyke Area and improved targeting of resources;</p> <p><b>Subsequent minute (sept 2020)</b></p> <p>(i) that Members request that an informal meeting is arranged between Ward Members, Humberside Police and the Neighbourhood Nuisance Team, and that this is scheduled in the Council Chamber to enable further face to face discussion around Ward Member priorities, improved communication and targeting of resources at a local level.</p> <p><b>Related minute 39c 15.9.21</b></p> <p><b>(c)that the informal meeting with Ward Members, Humberside Police and the Neighbourhood Nuisance Team is prioritised as soon as possible, as previously requested by the Committee, to enable further discussions around Ward Member priorities and wider area issues (minute 75d refers);</b></p>	<p>Alastair Shaw/Sylvia Bilsby</p>	<p><b>discussions are ongoing as below in relation to policing relationship and common tasking.further discussion to be held with members around future direction</b></p>	<p>ongoing</p>	A

15.7.20					75f and 158h	<p>f) that the Wyke Area Committee recognises the importance of close working relationships with their respective Neighbourhood Policing Teams and whilst the Committee continue to maintain an excellent working relationship with their local Inspector, the conflicting <b>boundaries</b> and numerous structural changes have continued to dilute the effectiveness of these relationships at an operational level. Members are keen to forge stronger local partnerships and refer the matter to Overview and Scrutiny Committee to consider what action can be taken to reinvigorate the relationship with Humberside Police at a local level; and <b>related minute 158h</b> (h) that Members continue to have concerns that the policing and Area Committee boundaries are not coterminous and the impact that this has on the Central Ward and issues such as fly-tipping, which is currently addressed by the Riverside policing team and continues to cause some confusion and dilution of information and support. Members request that this further example is submitted in support of their previous referral to People and Communities Overview and Scrutiny Committee, for further review of the impact at a more strategic level.</p>	Maria Kohler	<p>Following discussion at P&amp;C The Police perspective is that police boundaries would not be changed however a suggestion was made that feedback be collated around information received at Area Committees to ensure the right level of detail/discussions are taking place.</p> <p>The Chair recommended that the issue be referred back to officers to discuss further and <b>report back to a future meeting</b>. an officer meeting is being established to discuss these issues further i.e. Governance arrangements for Area Committees; ward/neighbourhood level arrangements and Common Tasking Framework, and the Community Safety Partnership.</p> <p>It is hoped by having a further discussion in an attempt to resolve the issues as raised by members; some clarity would also be provided on roles and responsibilities; how the arrangements all link together in terms of priorities and establish how communication/relationships can be improved.</p> <p>this also links in to the response below</p>	ongoing	A
		19.5.21			158g and 168b	<p>(g) that Members receive further reassurance that fly-tipping will be retained as a priority as this continues to have a considerable impact on residents across the wider Wyke Area; and</p> <p>168b (b) that the Community Manager undertakes further discussion with the Local Inspector around ward priorities;</p> <p>Related minute 26e (15.9.21)</p> <p><b>(e)that Central Ward Members continue to have considerable concerns regarding fly-tipping in the Central Ward, and in light of the significant number of loose refuge fires that have occurred in the Central Area, Members request that fly-tipping is reinstated as a priority for the Central Ward as a matter of urgency.</b></p>	Alastair Shaw Mark Charlton	<p>21.7.21 Members were informed that a further meeting had been scheduled with the Chief Inspector, Inspector, and Head of Citysafe to review issues around priority setting. 7.9.21 Whilst fly-tip can be a community safety priority following a review of evidence received by all partners it was considered that fly tipping across the ward was not considered a priority. The Head of Community Safety and ward members have discussed this matter. Following a further meeting held with the Head of Community Safety, Head of Housing and Neighbourhoods and Chief Inspector Edwards it was agreed that all future priority setting will now involve looking at all evidence based data/intelligence to support any ward priorities where a SARA package will be developed with key partner actions and reviewed.</p> <p>30.9.21 I can confirm that a targeted neighbourhood based response to flytipping has been proposed for common tasking (place based tasking as it will be known) rather than a whole ward approach. Members and partners are requested to identify specific hotspot areas. This intelligence will be fed into the Place based Tasking arena to identify if a partner response is required. If not requiring a partner response, core service delivery will be examined and challenged to identify actions to respond to the neighbourhood issue. Place based Tasking has always been a vehicle for multi-agency response to issues based on changing patterns of intelligence including reported incidents, crimes and fire data.</p> <p><b>Members would like review at Tasking Process and how reflected (17.11.21)</b></p> <p><b>11.1.22 This is on the work programme and under review, pending confirmation of date for the agenda</b></p>	Monitor	A
16.9.20			<b>OPEN SPACE PROVISION IN THE WYKE AREA</b>		89	<p>(b) that whilst the Wyke Area Committee recognises the need for funding streams to be diverted to support essential services during the pandemic, Members are mindful that the parks and open spaces have been a vital resource for the health and wellbeing of local residents, many of whom live in built up areas with little or no garden. As such Members request that further clarification is sought from Members of Parliament, as to when the designated funding streams for area-based open space initiatives will be reinstated.</p>	Alastair Shaw	<p>Cabinet confirmed action to Community Manager for letter to be forwarded to MPs as requested</p>		A
18.11.20			Community safety update		111				Monitor	A
					111	<p>(b) that on the behalf of the Wyke Area Committee, the local Inspector requests a map of all telephone boxes in the Wyke Area and statistical evidence of their usage,</p> <p>(c) that a briefing paper be submitted to a future meeting to enable further consideration to be given to the potential decommissioning of telephone boxes in order to minimise crime and drug dealing.</p>	Alastair Shaw Nic Harne NC's	<p>3.3.21 Response from KCOM - As promised we are reviewing the provision of phone boxes in the Princes Avenue area to see which, if any, it is appropriate / feasible to remove. In doing this we are considering the degree to which any of the phone boxes are the focus of antisocial behaviour, as well as the frequency of their usage and the distance to the next closest alternative.</p> <p>In the short term, we have removed the advertising vinyls from the phone boxes on the corner of Princes Avenue and Park Grove, which we understand have been a particular target for antisocial behaviour.</p> <p>28.4.21 - This matter is being progressed - contacts made and locations being progressed by Neighbourhood Co-ordinators in consultation with Ward members (AS)</p> <p>7.7.21 ongoing with regard to the wider discussions and a paper is being sought for a future meeting</p> <p>24.8 Neighbourhood Coordinator MT has contacted KC to request update on mapping exercise to inform briefing paper to a future meeting</p> <p><b>3.11.21 As this will relate to the review of individual boxes rather than area wide, the Map link has been provided by KCOM for NC's to review with Members at Ward Level to enable a more focussed approach.</b></p> <p><b>Members would like a meeting with NC's and ward councillors to arrange patch walks. (17.11.21)</b></p>	Monitor	A

20.1.21 and 19.5.21			URGENT BUSINESS - EXEMPT			123 and 169e	<p>That the Director of Legal Services and Partnerships be asked to investigate the instances highlighted by the Members of the Committee and take the appropriate action.</p> <p><u>Associated minute 169e - 19.5.21</u></p> <p>(e) that Members do not feel that recent issues raised are appropriate for the Diversity and Inclusion Panel and re-refer their concerns to the Director of Legal Services and Partnerships to reconsider the matter and determine an alternative course of action.</p> <p><b>Associated minute - 39e</b></p> <p><b>(e)that the Head of Governance holds further discussion with the Director of Legal Services and Partnerships to determine an appropriate resolve to the outstanding actions raised under 'Urgent Exempt Business' on 20th January 2021 (minutes 123 and 169e refer);</b></p>	Ian Anderson Alex Holgate	<p>A response has been circulated outside of the meeting.</p> <p>6.5.21 Following additional queries raised by the committee a further response has been sought and will be provided outside of the meeting 3.6.21 further email to express Members concerns <b>8.7.21 and 24.8.21 - emailed for update</b></p> <p><b>The Head of Governance has updated Cllrs Akbar and Fareham off agenda. propose to delete and any further discussions required be undertaken outside of the meeting</b></p>	proposed for deletion	G
16.9.20			REFERRALS REPORT – PEARSON AVENUE			86					A
16.9.20						86b and 169b	<p>(b) that Members share the concerns raised by the Pearson Park Trust and suggest that further discussion be scheduled outside of the meeting with the Chairman of the Pearson Park Trust, Ward Members, Wyke Neighbourhood Team and representatives of Planning, Love Your Street, Place based Activity and Private Housing to identify the issues and ascertain an appropriate way forward, and a further update be submitted to the November 2020 meeting;</p> <p><u>related minute 20.5.21</u> <b>b) that further consideration is given as to whether improvements to the streets in and around Pearson Avenue and the smaller streets in the vicinity of Beverley Road, could be undertaken as a Frontage Scheme.</b></p>	Sylvia Bilsby/ Liz Jamil	<p>Private Housing have tried to arrange a joint visit to carry out visual external property inspections with Planning colleagues but have unfortunately not been able to align resources. Private Housing will be undertaking visits as from end Oct/early Nov and will refer any planning issues to colleagues. We will let you know the outcome of the visits and feed into this meeting once arranged. scheduled for 24th November 2020 and 17.12.20 Discussion ongoing at PPT re development of a shelf scheme</p> <p>In respect to developing a 'shelf' scheme for frontage improvements to the properties on Pearson Avenue, funding would be required to appoint an architect to develop a feasibility study and outline costings for any scheme. Neighbourhoods and Housing has no previous schemes to draw upon to provide any outline scheme or costs (due to the distinct nature of the street in comparison to the usual schemes). The team delivering private sector renewal have a priority street list which was signed off by Cabinet as part of the Housing Strategy adoption in 2017. Pearson Avenue is not on the list however the street prioritisation will be completed again this year as part of the Housing Strategy review and all Area Committees will have the opportunity to nominate streets for consideration by Cabinet when agreeing prioritisation. There is therefore an opportunity later in the year for Wyke Area Committee to propose Pearson Avenue for inclusion in future programmes. LJ</p> <p><b>20.10.21 - Pending street prioritisation list - scheduled for March 22</b></p>	Pending	A
		19.5.21	COMMUNITY SAFETY UPDATE			158					A
		19.5.21				158c	<p>(c) that Wyke Area Committee refer their ongoing concerns around The Strand to the Planning Committee, to consider what action can be taken following a recent spate of fires and ongoing reports of Anti-social Behaviour around the site</p>	Cabinet Nick Howbridge and Alex Codd	<p>The referral will be considered at Planning Policy Committee on Tuesday 20 July. A letter has also recently been sent to the owners of the land/buildings reminding them of their responsibilities regarding ensuring unauthorised access to the area is controlled. This letter was sent jointly by the Council with the Fire Service and Humberside Police</p> <p>20.7.21 That Cabinet be requested to consider a report addressing the concerns of both the Wyke Area Committee and the Planning Committee; the increasing cost to the City Council, and progressing one of the available options for the development of the site.</p> <p>8.11.21 pending date for Cabinet consideration</p> <p><b>29.11.21 The Assistant Director Property and Assets/Assistant Director Major Projects/Assistant Director Economic Development and Regeneration are asked to explore this and bring a briefing paper to inform the Executive and enable further consideration - pending timescale</b></p>	ongoing	A

		19.5.21			158	(f) that the Community Manager seeks further clarification around the Community Payback Scheme as to when requests can be submitted;	Alastair Shaw Liz Smith Ellie Harrison	<p>Raised with Streetscene who have advised that the project has not started up as yet still due to 'Covid' restriction eg: safe distancing/use of transport/equipment etc. updated by email on 14.9.21 - Community Payback are still struggling with transportation of offenders beyond the patch that they operate from. We have a list Community Payback hubs which has been circulated, beyond which, providing it's within walking distance, they can operate in a defined patch to carry out littering picking duties. whilst they still have the minibuses, they are unable to use these due to Covid-19 and issues with the lack of ventilation. With regards to using public transport, the officers are seeking further clarification to confirm if this is, or is not, something they can look into. However, the likelihood is that this would not be feasible as they carry a lot of equipment with them, and often have waste to take away when a task is complete. There also may be the issue of who would cover the cost of a large number of people having to take public transport.</p> <p><b>8.11.21 - The current community payback hubs are as below,</b></p> <p><b>Longhill Park</b> <b>West Park</b> <b>Alderman Playing fields</b> <b>Wymersley Park</b> <b>The Autism Centre (Ings estate)</b></p> <p><b>Guidance has been requested on the remit of the teams - information will be shared with ward Members when available.</b></p>	ongoing	A
					44d	Related minute 44d (19.11.21) 44d - that further information on how Councillors can be involved in the Community Payback scheme be circulated to members off agenda	Alastair Shaw Liz Smith Ellie Harrison			R
		19.5.21	<b>YOUTH DEVELOPMENT -</b>		162					A
		19.5.21				(b) that the Wyke Area Committee refers to Cabinet to consider the feasibility of bidding for Government funding to reopen the Chapman Street motorcycle trail park or an alternative venue, in order to provide a suitable safe space for young people to ride motorcycles and embrace educational opportunities for learning about mechanics and road safety in a safe environment. Members also suggest that consideration is given to approaching the Police for additional funding and support for this project via Operation Yellowfin.	Laura Scholes Andrew Wilson	<p>Cabinet have requested that officers are asked to explore this option and provide a briefing paper to update</p> <p>24.8.21 Ongoing - pending confirmation of date of submission as per Cabinet instruction <b>3.11.21 - pending confirmation as to when this will be reconsidered by cabinet</b></p>	ongoing	A
		19.5.21	<b>WASTE AND OPEN SPACES</b>		164					A
		19.5.21			164 and 39	(e) that the Wyke Area Committee request that People and Communities Overview and Scrutiny Commission review the waste statistics and unique landscape of the Central Area, on the grounds that they strongly believe that there is a compelling need for a distinct and individual Waste Strategy for the Central Ward, in order to meet the complex and diverse demands of the area. <b>Related minute 25.9.21 -39 (f)that Members concerns around fly-tipping in the Central Area &amp;potential correlation between this &amp; the number of rubbish-related fires recorded by Humber Fire and Rescue Service are forwarded to support ongoing discussions by People and Communities Overview and Scrutiny Commission around the Waste Strategy, Common Tasking and boundary issues (minute 26 refers).</b>	Maria Kohler	<p>Considered by OSMC 5.7.21 That the People and Communities OSC include on their agenda an item to look at the current city-wide Waste Strategy to consider if an Area focussed strategy could deliver improved services. Pending confirmation of dates <b>24.8.21 Provisional date for P&amp;C Nov/Dec 2021</b></p>	ongoing	A
21.7.21					11g	(g)that the Wyke Area Committee recognises the Government funding support provided to tackle obesity and the improved cycling infrastructure in the City, however, Members have concerns that cycle ownership in Hull remains low and as such many families are missing the key components to improve family health and wellbeing though cycling. As such, the Committee refers this matter to Overview and Scrutiny Commission to consider further options to encourage the use of the City's cycle lanes through a reinvigorated promotion of the Council's 'Bike to work scheme'; and to consider additional options and funding streams to increase cycle ownership within families in Hull, and bridge the gap between the fight to tackle obesity and active living.	Alex Holgate	<p>referral submitted to OSMC pending outcome</p> <p>6.9.21 - that the referral be fed into the Task and Finish Group to avoid duplication</p> <p><b>20.10.21 -Task and Finish Panel determined that this referral would be included for consideration at the formal meetings. first formal meeting scheduled 26.11.21. members updated by email 8.11.21</b></p>	ongoing	A
15.9.21			<b>COMMUNITY SAFETY UPDATE</b>						Monitor	A

15.9.21					26	(c)that Safer Roads Humber be approached to undertake a review following ongoing complaints of speeding through Bricknell Avenue, Chanterlands Avenue and National Avenue;	Allan Robins	As this stands, this would not meet the criteria required for SRH to become involved. We have over the previous years conducted speed surveys on Chants Ave, Chants Ave North and 2 previous speed surveys conducted on National Avenue in 2017 (One near to the drain and the other near Harpings Road), all of which had not indicated a speeding issue. Hull CC do continually monitor the situation, however with the introduction of the new cycle lanes on Bricknell it is too early to have a speed survey as the results are likely to be skewed"  3.11.21 Further update sought around the criteria for SRH and feasible timescales for Bricknell speed survey  <b>9.11.21 the Road safety Strategy is currently being updated, having been delayed by covid 19. Road safety data needs to be analysed further as data has dramatically changed over this period, the SRH forms part of this strategy. further information will be fed into the informal meeting previously requested - pending confirmation of dates. in the meantime 2 speed surveys were funded by the ward and carried out w/c 2/11/21 for the period of one week. results will be available in the next three weeks and shared with Members at ward level.</b>  <b>Members would like monitored until results have been received. (17.11.21)</b>	Monitor	A
15.9.21					28					A
15.9.21			CCTV GOVERNANCE		28b	(b)that Members receive a further update off agenda with regard to the coverage of CCTV cameras in the Wyke Area, to facilitate further review at ward level around the efficacy of the location and to enable Members to provide further direction and local area knowledge around restricted visibility;	Andy Burton Martin Walker Neighbourhood Coordinators	there are currently 46 x 360 view cameras in the Wyke Area. Any obstructions noted are addressed however we specifically review issues like trees on an annual basis we can look at what birds eye view can be seen from cameras, however this will take a little time to collate and can be provided along with some stats. <b>This information will be sourced in the coming weeks and provided to facilitate further discussion at ward level. NC's copied in to correspondence to include in Ward Member meetings as future agenda item - can we establish when this will be available please</b>	ongoing	A
15.9.21					28c	(c)that Avenues Ward Members receive further clarification off agenda, as to whether the camera adjacent to the Duesbery Street cycle track/Beverley Road is a fixed traffic camera or 360-degree coverage.	Martin Walker	<b>8.11.21 the camera can rotate through 360 degrees</b>  <b>The concrete base for the location went in on Friday, next steps</b>  •Concrete base needs to set •Remove pole from its present redundant location •Bolt in place Duesbery St / Dover St •Connect power supply •Connect KCOM at the cameras •Connect KCOM in Control room •Install camera •Up-date the new system to recognise the camera  <b>To remain on resolution list until established and working. (17.11.21)</b>	Monitor	A
15.9.21			HOUSING REVENUE BUDGETS		32	(a)That further discussion be held off agenda to consider what can be done to improve the green area at Warnford Gardens;	Sean Butcher	<b>Patch walk arranged by Mike Tindall that will cover this area. Cllrs invited also. Booked in 10.00am on 10/11/21. Patch walk completed by Shaun Butcher, Mike Tindall and Tony Saxby - Cllrs Singh and Brabazon not in attendance. 21.12.21 Shaun Butcher emailed Mike Tindall to book in a Patch Walk with Cllrs for Jan 22 - Warnford Gardens and any issues to be picked up at ward level</b>	proposed for deletion	G
					44c	that the officer investigates member's concerns of recent incidents of residents having their front doors kicked in and threatened	Humberside Police	6.1.22 Inspector Lonsdale is following up on this issue		A
					44d		Humberside Police, Liz Smith and Ellie Harrison			R
17.11.21			DOLLY PARTON IMAGINATION LIBRARY		46a	that figures on who had accessed the Dolly Parton Imagination Library scheme would be provided for each ward off agenda	Sue Cornwall and Richard Skog	circulated off agenda on 5.1.22	proposed for deletion	G
						b. that the officer investigates why the Dolly Parton Imagination Library scheme did not meet the criteria to seek funding from the James Reckitt Library Trust	Sue Cornwall and Richard Skog	re funding from the James Reckitt as we are not yet a charity we are unable to apply for funding. once charitable status is established I will go back and see if the charity meet the criteria to reapply	proposed for deletion	G
17.11.21			NATIONAL LOTTERY HERITAGE FUND (NHLF) REGENERATION PROJECTS: PEARSON PARK, BEVERLEY ROAD TOWNSCAPE HERITAGE AND NATIONAL PICTURE THEATRE		48b	that the officer provides the opening date and hours of the conservatory in Pearson Park off agenda.	Gill Osgerby			R
17.11.21			YOUTH DEVELOPMENT UPDATE		51b	that the officer investigates the possibility of exterior lighting outside Kingston Youth Centre due to how dark it is in the winter months.	Karen Kellher			R